G99: How can we capture the physical and psychological impact of orthopedic infections on patients?

Allison M Lastinger, Ryan Blake, Ran Schwarzkopf, Lincoln Liow, James Slover, Peter Sculco, Janet Conway, Amir Hoveidaei, Benjamin Ricciardi

Response/Recommendation: There are no standard outcome measures to capture the physical and psychological impact of orthopedic infections. Currently, both general and disease-specific measures are used depending on the anatomical location and subspecialty practice preferences. Until universal outcome measures are adopted, providers should use outcome measures recommended by their respective societies.

Level of Evidence: Limited

Delegate Vote:

Rationale:

Orthopedic infections are a devastating complication of orthopedic surgery. Examples of common orthopedic infections include periprosthetic joint infection (PJI), fracture related infection (FRI), and spine infection to name a few. The goal of treating a patient with an orthopedic infection is to maximize the patient's physical and psychological health by selecting the best surgery and treatment course for that patient. Without specific outcome instruments to measure the impact of a surgery on patients' physical and psychological health, care teams are left without evidence-based metrics to guide care.

Patient Reported Outcome Measurements are used by orthopedic surgeons to monitor the impact of specific orthopedic procedures on patients' overall health and functional outcomes. Some examples of these include the HOOS and KOOS JR scores and the Oswestry Disability Index. Unfortunately, there are no standardized metrics to capture the physical and psychological impact of orthopedic infections on patients, but providers who care for these patients recognize the enormous burden such infections impose on patients and their families. If there were standardized metrics to compare physical and psychological outcomes, orthopedic surgeons could make better evidence-based recommendations for the patient.

A review of articles from 2007 to 2024 was performed. A total of 34 studies met the search criteria. Of the 34 studies, 28 studies focused on PJI, 3 studies examined spine infections, 1 study compared spine infections and PJI, 1 study examined FRI alone, and 1 study compared FRI and PJI. The majority of the PJI studies sought to determine which surgical strategy results in better physical and/or psychological outcomes for patients.

Multiple outcome measures were used including physical, psychological, and overall quality of life outcome measures. Of note, many of the quality-of-life outcome measures included both physical and psychological components so there was overlap. The most common physical outcome measures examined were WOMAC (4 studies), OHS and/or OKS (5 studies), VAS (4 studies), HOOS and/or KOOS JR (4 studies), and KSS (2 studies). Psychological outcome measures included HADS-A and HADS-D (2 studies), ISR Score (2 studies), PHQ-4 (1 study),

and PA-F-KF (1 study). Quality of life measures included SF-36 (17 studies), SF-12 (5 studies), EQ-5D (5 studies), FLZ (2 studies), PROMIS (3 studies), DT modified (1 study), and WHOQOL-BREF (1 study).

Since there are currently no standardized physical and psychological outcome measures specific for the management of patients with orthopedic infections, we must rely on the metrics used in orthopedics in general. Each specialty within orthopedics uses its own outcome measures. In Total Joint Arthroplasty (TJA), the recommendation from the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons is to use either the PROMIS 10 or VR-12 instruments to assess general health and the KOOS JR or HOOS JR to assess joint specific health[1]. The Orthopedic Trauma Association does not currently recommend specific outcome measures within the subspecialty. However, the most prevalent general measures reported are the VAS, EQ-5D, SF-36, and SF-12 with use of specialized outcomes of Disability of Arm Shoulder and Hand Score (DASH), Oswetry Disability Index (ODI), and HHS for traumatic orthopaedic injuries specific to the upper extremity, lower back, and hip, respectively [2]. Spine surgery as a specialty also does not have a standard recommendation or consensus for outcome measures. The Neck Disability Index (NDI) [3], ODI [3-5], Modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association (mJOA) [3, 4], and Rolland-Morris Disability Questionnaire [3, 5] are the most common disease-specific tools involving pathology of the spine. General outcome measures within the specialty are reported most frequently using the SF-36 [5], VAS [4], and EQ-5D [5] with the VAS measurement appearing at an increasing rate in the literature compared to the others. In general, orthopaedic spine and trauma surgery use a combination of disease-specific outcome measures while utilizing general measures, as well. The VAS, EQ-5D, and SF-36 appear to have the most generalizability to assess the physical function and quality of life measures for patients undergoing either orthopaedic trauma or spine surgery.

Author	Year	Outcome Measure			D :	
		Physical	Psychological	Quality of Life	Design	Population
Ghanem [6]	2007	WOMAC	-	SF-36	Prospective	РЛ
Boettner [7]	2011	HHS	-	RAND-36 (Same as SF-36)	Retrospective	PJI (2-Stage)
Petilon [8]	2012	ODI, NRS	-	SF-36	Case Control	Spine
Kim [9]	2012	UCLA Activity Scale, KSS Pain & Function	-	-	Retrospective	PJI (2-Stage)
		_	_	SF-12		PJI (fusion,
Chen [10]	2012	_	_		Retrospective	amputation)
Aboltins [11]	2013	HHS	-	SF-12	Case Control	PJI (DAIR)
Nunez [12]	2015	WOMAC	-	SF-36	Prospective	PJI
Helito [13]	2015	Ability to walk	-	SF-36	Case Series	PJI (amputation)
Rohner [14]	2015	VAS, KOOS	-	SF-36	Retrospective	PJI (fusion)
Lee [15]	2016	VAS	HADS-A, HADS-D	SF-36	Retrospective	PJI (2-Stage)
Aboltins [16]	2016		-	SF-12	Retrospective	PJI (DAIR)
Beaupre [17]	2017	WOMAC	-	RAND-36 (Same as SF-36)	Prospective	PJI (2-Stage)
Grammatopoulos [18]	2017	OHS	-	-	Case Control	РЛ
Gelhorn [19]	2018	PROMIS- PF	-	-	Qualitative	PJI & Spine
Poulsen [20]	2018	OHS	-	EQ-5D	Cross Sectional	PJI (2-Stage)
Vincenten [21]	2019	-	-	WHOQOL-BREF, EQ-5D-3L	Cross Sectional	PJI (Girdlestone)
Knebel [22]	2020	-	PHQ-4, PAF-F-KF	SF-12, FLZ Herschbach & Henrich Score	Prospective	PJI (2-Stage)
Golgelioglu [23]	2020	KSS	-	SF-36	Retrospective	PJI (2-Stage)
Walter [24]	2021	-	ISR Score	SF-36, EQ-5D	Retrospective	PJI
Wildeman [25]	2021	OHS	-	EQ-5D-5L	Retrospective	PJI
Goh [26]	2021	KSS, OKS	-	SF-36	Retrospective	PJI
Lueck [27]	2022	-	HADS	SF-36, FLZ	Prospective	PJI (2-Stage)
		ODI, VAS Back &	-	SF-12		
Karamian [28]	2022	Leg			Case Control	Spine

				SF-36, EQ-5D,		
		-	-	Expectation		PJI and FRI
Walter [29]	2022			Questionnaire	Case Series	(fusion)
		VAS,				
		Modified	_	SF-36		
		Lysholm,	_	51-50		
Bohle [30]	2022	WOMAC			Retrospective	PJI (amputation)
Wixted [31]	2023	PEQ	-	PROMIS	Retrospective	PJI (Girdlestone)
				PROMIS		
Shichman [32]	2023	-	-	(Modified Version)	Retrospective	РЛ
		ODI, SF-	_	SF-36, SF-MPQ		
Abboud [33]	2023	WAI		51-50, 51-WI Q	Retrospective	Spine
Conway [34]	2023	KOOS	-	SF-36	Retrospective	PJI (fusion)
		HOOS JR,		Adapted DT		
Lastinger [35]	2023	KOOS JR	-	Adapted D1	Retrospective	PJI
Gitajn [36]	2024	BPI	-	VR-12	Retrospective	FRI
VanEngen [37]	2024	KOOS JR	-	PROMIS-10	Retrospective	PJI (DAIR)
Walter [38]	2024	-	ISR Score	SF-36, EQ-5D	Prospective	PJI
Manning [39]	2024	OHS, OKS	-	-	Prospective	PJI

Physical Outcome Measures

BPI: Brief Pain Inventory

HHS: Harris Hip Score

HOOS JR: Hip Disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, Joint Replacement

KOOS JR: Knee Disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, Joint Replacement

KSS: Knee Society Score

Modified Lysholm

NRS: Numerical Pain Rating Scale

ODI: Oswestry Disability Index

OHS: Oxford Hip Score

OKS: Oxford Knee Score

PEQ: Prosthesis Evaluation Questionnaire

PROMIS-PF: Patient Reported Outcome Measure Physical Function

UCLA Activity Scale

VAS: Visual Analogue Score

WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index

Psychological Outcome Measures

HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

ISR: ICD-10 Symptom Rating

PA-F-KF: Fear of Progression Score

PHQ-4: Patient Health Questionnaire 4

Quality of Life Outcome Measures

DT adapted: Distress Thermometer adapted

EQ-5D: EuroQol-5D

FLZ: Questionnaire on life satisfaction

PROMIS: Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Global-10 Short Form

SF-36: Short Form 36

SF-12: Short Form 12

WHOQOL-BREF: World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF

References

- 1. Patient-reported outcomes summit for total joint arthroplasty report. J Arthroplasty, 2015. **30**: p. 1860-1862.
- 2. Marcano-Fernandez, F., et al., *A Systematic Review of Outcome Measures in Orthopaedic Trauma Trials: What Are We Measuring?* Indian J Orthop, 2022. **56**(8): p. 1316-1326.
- 3. Beighley, A., et al., *Patient-reported outcome measures in spine surgery: A systematic review.* J Craniovertebr Junction Spine, 2022. **13**(4): p. 378-389.
- 4. Khan, A.S.R., et al., *Outcome Reporting in Spine Surgery: A Review of Historical and Emerging Trends*. World Neurosurg, 2023. **179**: p. 88-98.
- 5. Stokes, O.M., et al., Do we have the right PROMs for measuring outcomes in lumbar spinal surgery? Eur Spine J, 2017. **26**(3): p. 816-824.
- 6. Ghanem, E., et al., *Periprosthetic infection does not preclude good outcome for revision arthroplasty.* Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2007. **461**: p. 54-9.
- 7. Boettner, F., et al., Functional and Emotional Results Differ After Aseptic vs Septic Revision Hip Arthroplasty. HSS J, 2011. 7(3): p. 235-8.
- 8. Petilon, J.M., et al., *Clinical outcomes after lumbar fusion complicated by deep wound infection: a case-control study.* Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2012. **37**(16): p. 1370-4.
- 9. Kim, T.W., et al., *Patient-reported activity levels after successful treatment of infected total knee arthroplasty.* J Arthroplasty, 2012. **27**(8 Suppl): p. 81-5.
- 10. Chen, A.F., et al., Better function for fusions versus above-the-knee amputations for recurrent periprosthetic knee infection. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2012. **470**(10): p. 2737-45.
- 11. Aboltins, C., et al., Early prosthetic hip joint infection treated with debridement, prosthesis retention and biofilm-active antibiotics: functional outcomes, quality of life and complications. Intern Med J, 2013. **43**(7): p. 810-5.
- 12. Nunez, M., et al., Measuring Outcomes: Pain and Quality of Life 48 Months After Acute Postoperative Total Knee Prosthetic Joint Infection. Pain Pract, 2015. 15(7): p. 610-7.
- 13. Helito, C.P., et al., Evaluation of quality of life and walking ability among amputated patients and those who refused to undergo amputation following infection of total knee arthroplasty: Small case series. Prosthet Orthot Int, 2015. **39**(6): p. 463-9.
- 14. Rohner, E., et al., *Unsatisfactory outcome of arthrodesis performed after septic failure of revision total knee arthroplasty.* J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2015. **97**(4): p. 298-301.
- 15. Lee, S.C., Do articulating spacers or static spacers have more effect on the mental health status of patients undergoing two-stage revision total knee arthroplasty for periprosthetic joint infection? Current Orthopaedic Practice, 2016. **27**(3): p. 307-310.
- 16. Aboltins, C., et al., Good quality of life outcomes after treatment of prosthetic joint infection with debridement and prosthesis retention. J Orthop Res, 2016. **34**(5): p. 898-902.

- 17. Beaupre, L.A., et al., *Health-related quality of life with long-term retention of the PROSthesis of Antibiotic Loaded Acrylic Cement system following infection resolution in low demand patients.* J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong), 2017. **25**(2): p. 2309499017716257.
- 18. Grammatopoulos, G., et al., Functional outcome of debridement, antibiotics and implant retention in periprosthetic joint infection involving the hip: a case-control study. Bone Joint J, 2017. **99-B**(5): p. 614-622.
- 19. Gelhorn, H.L., et al., *Qualitative interviews to identify burden of illness, impacts and costs associated with surgical site infections.* J Comp Eff Res, 2018. **7**(4): p. 357-367.
- 20. Poulsen, N.R., et al., *Patient-reported quality of life and hip function after 2-stage revision of chronic periprosthetic hip joint infection: a cross-sectional study.* Hip Int, 2018. **28**(4): p. 407-414.
- 21. Vincenten, C.M., et al., Quality of life and health status after Girdlestone resection arthroplasty in patients with an infected total hip prosthesis. J Bone Jt Infect, 2019. **4**(1): p. 10-15.
- 22. Knebel, C., et al., *Peri-Prosthetic Joint Infection of the Knee Causes High Levels of Psychosocial Distress: A Prospective Cohort Study.* Surg Infect (Larchmt), 2020. **21**(10): p. 877-883.
- 23. Golgelioglu, F., et al., *The Effect of Time Spent with a Dynamic Spacer on Clinical and Functional Outcomes in Two-Stage Revision Knee Arthroplasty.* Indian J Orthop, 2020. **54**(6): p. 824-830.
- 24. Walter, N., et al., Long-Term Patient-Related Quality of Life after Knee Periprosthetic Joint Infection. J Clin Med, 2021. **10**(5).
- 25. Wildeman, P., et al., What Are the Long-term Outcomes of Mortality, Quality of Life, and Hip Function after Prosthetic Joint Infection of the Hip? A 10-year Follow-up from Sweden. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2021. 479(10): p. 2203-2213.
- 26. Goh, G.S., et al., Preoperative Mental Health Influences Patient-Reported Outcome Measures and Satisfaction After Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty, 2021. **36**(8): p. 2878-2886.
- 27. Lueck, E., et al., *The psychological burden of a two-stage exchange of infected total hip and knee arthroplasties.* J Health Psychol, 2022. **27**(2): p. 470-480.
- 28. Karamian, B.A., et al., Clinical Outcomes at One-year Follow-up for Patients With Surgical Site Infection After Spinal Fusion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2022. 47(15): p. 1055-1061.
- 29. Walter, N., et al., Treatment of Periprosthetic Joint Infection and Fracture-Related Infection With a Temporary Arthrodesis Made by PMMA-Coated Intramedullary Nails Evaluation of Technique and Quality of Life in Implant-Free Interval. Front Surg, 2022. 9: p. 917696.
- 30. Bohle, S., et al., *Poor Outcome of Above-Knee Amputation after Septic Failure of Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty.* J Knee Surg, 2022. **35**(6): p. 645-652.
- 31. Wixted, C.M., et al., A Multicenter Prospective Investigation on Patient Physical and Mental Health After Girdlestone Resection Arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty, 2023. **38**(5): p. 899-902.
- 32. Shichman, I., et al., *The Effect of Prosthetic Joint Infection on Work Status and Quality of Life: A Multicenter, International Study.* J Arthroplasty, 2023. **38**(12): p. 2685-2690 e1.
- 33. Abboud, T., et al., *Postoperative Quality of Life in Patients with Pyogenic Spondylodiscitis.* J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg, 2023. **84**(1): p. 65-68.

- 34. Conway, J.D., et al., Functional Outcomes of Knee Arthrodesis for Infected Total Knee Arthroplasty. Cureus, 2023. **15**(10): p. e46397.
- 35. Lastinger, A.M., et al., A Pilot Study Using a Standardized Method of Measuring Distress Demonstrates Higher Levels in Septic Revisions. Arthroplast Today, 2023. **24**: p. 101255.
- 36. Gitajn, I.L., et al., *Deep Surgical Site Infection after Fracture Has a Profound Effect on Functional Outcomes.* JB JS Open Access, 2024. **9**(1).
- 37. Van Engen, M.G., et al., Outcomes After Successful Debridement, Antibiotic, and Implant Retention Therapy for Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Total Knee Arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty, 2024. **39**(2): p. 483-489.
- 38. Walter, N., et al., *Healing beyond the joint: Addressing mental health in periprosthetic joint infection in a prospective longitudinal study.* J Psychosom Res, 2024. **177**: p. 111559.
- 39. Manning, L., et al., *Patient-Reported Outcomes Following Periprosthetic Joint Infection of the Hip and Knee: A Longitudinal, Prospective Observational Study.* J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2024. **106**(13): p. 1197-1204.