QUESTION B24: Are biofilms carcinogenic or associated with bone tumors?

Débora C. Coraça-Huber, Masood Umer, Hiroaki Kimura, Hiroyuki Tsuchiya, Koichi Ogura, Bryan D. Springer, Hyonmin Choe

Response/Recommendation: Yes. Evidence suggests a potential role of biofilms in carcinogenesis, including bone tumors, which may occur through alteration of microbiome.

Level of Evidence: Moderate.

Delegate Vote:

Rationale

A comprehensive search strategy in PubMed and Medline Embase from inception till Nov 2024 using the appropriate MESH terms, developed by the librarians, was conducted. Search Results yielded 570 publications in English language. Two of the coauthors went through title and abstract and scored inclusion or exclusion, discrepant results were adjudicated by a third person. Thirteen full articles were included and reviewed in detail. One author prepared the draf manuscript and shared with other authors for comments and edits. The findings of the systematic review are presented here.

There is a growing evidence that bacterial biofilms play an important role in the development of various human diseases, including cancer. In order to facilitate their survival, biofilms induce immunological reactions and metabolic changes in the host, which can facilitate oncogene expression and cancer progression¹.

Biofilms can promote cancer by different mechanisms¹. Biofilms can trigger inflammation that usually does not succeed in eliminating the biofilm-associated pathogens; persistent inflammation can cause DNA damage and promote the growth of cancer cells². Biofilms can impact the efficacy of the host immune response³, creating an environment prone to cancer development⁴. Some bacteria in biofilms can produce toxins acting as carcinogens⁵. Bacteria in biofilms can interfere on the host tissue metabolism¹. Bacteria within the tumor microenvironment, referred to as the tumor microbiome, are involved in cancer progression^{1; 6;} While some bacteria and their biofilms mainly act as cancer promoters, there is growing evidence that a healthy gut microbiome and its metabolites can have an anti-tumor effect. On the other hand, it has been hypothesized that a dysbiotic gut can be the source of the intratumoral microbiome at different sites⁸. The health gut microbiome could travel from the intestinal tract to other organs via the bloodstream and nourish different organs such as the breast, pancreas, lungs and kidneys, and other organs. Thus, a dysbiotic gut could deliver pathological microorganisms to the bloodstream, and therefore contribute to the carcinogenesis and constitute the tumor microbiome at a particular site^{1; 9-11}.

Colon and colorectal cancers (CRC) are the most investigated types of cancer in terms of

biofilm involvement and its related carcinogenesis 12-19. Dejea et al describe that colorectal cancer biofilms are usually detected on both, tumors and paired normal tissues. In their study, the paired normal tissue revealed biological changes relevant to oncogenic transformation. In addition, biofilm-covered mucosa was identified in a subset (~10-20%) of healthy individuals undergoing routine screening colonoscopy exhibiting epithelial changes like loss of E-cadherin from the apical zonula adherens, enhanced mucosal IL-6, and increased crypt cell proliferation^{12; 14; 20}. Li and colleagues added that CRC initiation is triggered by local mucosal colonization with specific pathogens (drivers), and that subsequent changes in the peritumoral environment allow colonization by opportunistic (passenger) microbes, further facilitating disease progression¹³. The carcinogenesis of biofilms in CRC was investigated by Tomkovich et al in murine models²⁰. They suggested that human colon mucosal biofilms, whether from tumor hosts or healthy individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy, are carcinogenic in murine models of CRC. This biofilm-related carcinogenesis may be initiated through chronic toxin-cellular changes 16; 17. Accumulating evidence from both animal and human studies points towards the dysbiotic gut microbiome as a key player in the pathogenesis of CRC. Further studies that aim to evaluate the combination of antimicrobial and anti-carcinogenic substances on chemoprevention of CRC are warranted¹⁸.

Kadam and colleagues describe the changes on the oral microbiome in tobacco-associated oral cancer²¹. In the context of oral carcinogenesis, notable pathogens include *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Candida* species and *Helicobacter pylori*^{22; 23}. The authors describe that the use of tobacco alters the structure of the oral microbiome, including that of potentially malignant lesions. They also found that altered oral microbiomes influence key microenvironmental changes such as chronic inflammation, secretion of carcinogenic toxins, cellular and tissue remodeling, and suppression of apoptosis. Given this, it is clear that the bacterial and fungal biofilms in potentially malignant states are likely not passive entities, and could play a critical role in shaping potential malignant and carcinogenic conditions²¹. Recent studies have shown that oral microbiota play an important role also in the esophageal cancer (EC) initiation and progression, suggesting that oral microbiota is a new risk factor for EC²⁴. The results showed that the composition of the microbes inhabiting the oral cavity could be perturbed with continuous factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and inflammation.

Biofilms leading to gastrointestinal cancers have also been investigated, in particular in the gallbladder. Over the past few decades, substantial evidence has supported that gut microbiota plays a critical role in promoting the progression of gallbladder cancer (GBC)²⁵. In a subset of individuals, *Salmonella Typhi* colonizes the gallbladder causing an asymptomatic chronic infection. Epidemiological studies performed in regions where *S. Typhi* is endemic, revealed that the majority of chronically infected carriers also harbor gallstones, which in turn, have been indicated as a primary predisposing factor for the onset of GBC. It is now well recognized, that *S. Typhi* produces a typhoid toxin with a carcinogenic potential, that induces DNA damage and cell cycle alterations in intoxicated cells²⁶.

Nadler and colleagues suggest that biofilm occurs in urothelial cancer tissue indicating an association between biofilm formation and bladder cancer. In urology, the development of squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder due to the parasitic infection with *Schistosoma Mansoni*

is widely accepted. *Escherichia coli* is also responsible for 80% of all urinary tract infections. Recent findings suggest an altered urinary microbiome in patients with bladder cancer compared to healthy subjects²⁷.

Additionally, the possible involvement of biofilms in the breast oncogenesis has recently created curiosity, and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* has been implicated²⁸. This study demonstrated that *S. epidermidis* is capable of forming biofilms that can create a sustained inflammatory environment through their metabolites and can induce DNA breaks in breast cells, promoting cellular proliferation, angiogenesis, and genetic instability.

Nejman et al developed a comprehensive analysis of the tumor microbiome, studying 1,526 tumors and their adjacent normal tissues across seven cancer types, including breast, lung, ovary, pancreas, melanoma, bone, and brain tumors¹¹. The authors found that some bacterial pathways that can degrade metabolites known to be enriched in different kind of tumors. For example, degradation of hydroxyprolines by bacteria was enriched in bone tumors. Bone collagen is a main source of hydroxyproline, and many bone pathologies, like bone tumors, have been shown to result in elevated hydroxyproline levels^{11; 29}. Patients with severe bone lesion such as that arising from malignant bone tumor and primary parathyroid adenoma as well as those with congenital systemic bone disease excrete significantly increased amounts of hydroxyproline in the urine. Hydroxyproline excretion is elevated in destructive bone tumor, in primary hyperparathyroidism, and in congenital systemic bone disease. In this case, the urinary hydroxyproline reflects the rapid rate of bone collagen degradation³⁰. Hydroxyproline, can also be a marker for bone destruction in case of infections like osteomyelitis³¹.

In conclusion, it appears that altered microbiomes in different tissues can lead to the development of cancer. However, the number of studies implicating biofilm formation and bone tumors is limited. Thus, further investigations examining the oncogenic role of biofilm formed in patients with orthopedic infections are warranted.

References

- 1. Choi E, Murray B, Choi S. 2023. Biofilm and Cancer: Interactions and Future Directions for Cancer Therapy. Int J Mol Sci 24.
- 2. Vestby LK, Grønseth T, Simm R, et al. 2020. Bacterial Biofilm and its Role in the Pathogenesis of Disease. Antibiotics 9:59.
- 3. Otto M. 2009. Staphylococcus epidermidis the 'accidental' pathogen. Nature Reviews Microbiology 7:555-567.
- 4. Ellermann M, Sartor RB. 2018. Intestinal bacterial biofilms modulate mucosal immune responses. J Immunol Sci 2:13-18.
- 5. Kostic Aleksandar D, Chun E, Robertson L, et al. 2013. Fusobacterium nucleatum Potentiates Intestinal Tumorigenesis and Modulates the Tumor-Immune Microenvironment. Cell Host & Microbe 14:207-215.
- 6. Ciernikova S, Sevcikova A, Stevurkova V, et al. 2022. Tumor microbiome an integral part of the tumor microenvironment. Frontiers in Oncology 12.
- 7. Upadhyay A, Pal D, Kumar A. 2023. Substantial relation between the bacterial biofilm and oncogenesis progression in host. Microbial Pathogenesis 175:105966.

- 8. Gopalakrishnan V, Helmink BA, Spencer CN, et al. 2018. The Influence of the Gut Microbiome on Cancer, Immunity, and Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancer Cell 33:570-580.
- 9. Pushalkar S, Hundeyin M, Daley D, et al. 2018. The Pancreatic Cancer Microbiome Promotes Oncogenesis by Induction of Innate and Adaptive Immune Suppression. Cancer Discovery 8:403-416.
- 10. Markowski MC, Boorjian SA, Burton JP, et al. 2019. The Microbiome and Genitourinary Cancer: A Collaborative Review. European Urology 75:637-646.
- 11. Nejman D, Livyatan I, Fuks G, et al. 2020. The human tumor microbiome is composed of tumor type—specific intracellular bacteria. Science 368:973-980.
- 12. Dejea CM, Sears CL. 2016. Do biofilms confer a pro-carcinogenic state? Gut Microbes 7:54-57.
- 13. Li S, Konstantinov SR, Smits R, et al. 2017. Bacterial Biofilms in Colorectal Cancer Initiation and Progression. Trends in Molecular Medicine 23:18-30.
- 14. Chew S-S, Tan LT-H, Law JW-F, et al. 2020. Targeting Gut Microbial Biofilms—A Key to Hinder Colon Carcinogenesis? Cancers 12:2272.
- 15. Tomkovich S, Dejea CM, Winglee K, et al. 2019. Human colon mucosal biofilms from healthy or colon cancer hosts are carcinogenic. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 129:1699-1712.
- 16. Anderson SM, Sears CL. 2023. The Role of the Gut Microbiome in Cancer: A Review, With Special Focus on Colorectal Neoplasia and Clostridioides difficile. Clinical Infectious Diseases 77:S471-S478.
- 17. Hold GL, Garrett WS. 2015. Microbiota organization—a key to understanding CRC development. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology 12:128-129.
- 18. Muñiz Pedrogo DA, Sears CL, Melia JMP. 2024. Colorectal Cancer in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Review of the Role of Gut Microbiota and Bacterial Biofilms in Disease Pathogenesis. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis 18:1713-1725.
- 19. Talapko J, Meštrović T, Dmitrović B, et al. 2023. A Putative Role of Candida albicans in Promoting Cancer Development: A Current State of Evidence and Proposed Mechanisms. Microorganisms 11:1476.
- 20. Tomkovich S, Dejea CM, Winglee K, et al. 2019. Human colon mucosal biofilms from healthy or colon cancer hosts are carcinogenic. J Clin Invest 129:1699-1712.
- 21. Kadam S, Vandana M, Patwardhan S, et al. 2021. Looking beyond the smokescreen: can the oral microbiome be a tool or target in the management of tobacco-associated oral cancer? Ecancermedicalscience 15:1179.
- 22. Rizzato C, Torres J, Kasamatsu E, et al. 2019. Potential Role of Biofilm Formation in the Development of Digestive Tract Cancer With Special Reference to Helicobacter pylori Infection. Frontiers in Microbiology 10.
- 23. Wang Y, Xu S, He Q, et al. 2023. Crosstalk between microbial biofilms in the gastrointestinal tract and chronic mucosa diseases. Frontiers in Microbiology 14.
- 24. Song X, Greiner-Tollersrud OK, Zhou H. 2022. Oral Microbiota Variation: A Risk Factor for Development and Poor Prognosis of Esophageal Cancer. Digestive Diseases and Sciences 67:3543-3556.
- 25. Liu S, Li W, Chen J, et al. 2024. The footprint of gut microbiota in gallbladder cancer: a mechanistic review. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 14.
- 26. Di Domenico EG, Cavallo I, Pontone M, et al. 2017. Biofilm Producing Salmonella Typhi: Chronic Colonization and Development of Gallbladder Cancer. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 18:1887.
- 27. Nadler N, Kvich L, Bjarnsholt T, et al. 2021. The discovery of bacterial biofilm in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. Apmis 129:265-270.
- 28. Allen-Taylor D, Boro G, Cabato PM, et al. 2024. Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilm in inflammatory breast cancer and its treatment strategies. Biofilm 8:100220.
- 29. Nakagawa M, Sugiura Y, Oshima T, et al. 1967. Urinary hydroxyproline excretion in orthopedic disease, with special reference to systemic bone disease and bone tumor (secondary report). Nagoya J Med Sci 29:345-367.

- 30. Nakagawa M, Tamaki T. 1965. URINARY HYDROXYPROLINE EXCRETION IN ORTHOPEDIC DISEASE. PRELIMINARY REPORT. Nagoya journal of medical science 27:228-235.
- 31. Abbas HL. 1991. Excretion of urinary hydroxyproline in correlation with severity of induced osteomyelitis in rabbits. Acta Physiol Hung 78:235-239.