



Is there a Role for Local Antibiotic Cement Bead Application in Improving Outcomes After Debridement?



Dr Harvinder Singh Chhabra
Director and Chief Spine and Rehabilitation
Sri Balaji Action Medical Institute
New Delhi



3rd Meeting of the International Consensus Meeting 8-10 of May, 2025 Istanbul



**Dr Dilip Chand Raja
Soundararajan**



Dr Ajoy prasad Shetty



Dr J Naresh Babu



Dr Elar Cari



Why is this topic Important

- **High burden of surgical site infections (SSI)**

SSIs after spine surgery occur in up to 10% of cases and can lead to hardware failure, multiple revision surgeries, prolonged hospitalization, and increased morbidity and mortality—highlighting the need for improved local infection control strategies

- **Limitations of systemic antibiotics**

Achieving effective antibiotic concentrations at the site of infection through systemic therapy alone can require high doses that risk systemic toxicity, whereas antibiotic-loaded cement beads provide sustained, high local drug levels with minimal systemic exposure

- **Challenges with traditional PMMA carriers and the promise of bioabsorbable alternatives**

Permanent PMMA beads may elute subtherapeutic antibiotic levels long-term—potentially fostering resistance—and require removal; bioabsorbable carriers like calcium sulfate and phosphate overcome these issues by delivering antibiotics efficiently and negating the need for bead extraction



Literature Review/ Process



Scopus

Identification

Total Records identified
(n = 254)
Database: PubMed/Scopus/etc.

Records removed before screening:
Duplicate records removed (n = 42)



Records screened
(n = 212)

Records excluded
(n = 140)



Reports sought for retrieval
(n = 72)

Reports not retrieved
(n = 0)



Reports assessed for eligibility
(n = 72)

Reports excluded:
Insufficient data (n = 41)



Included

Studies included in qualitative synthesis
(n = 15)

Studies included in quantitative synthesis
(meta-analysis) (n = 16)



Findings from Literature

- High local antibiotic delivery achieves concentrations at the debridement site that systemic therapy can't, significantly lowering spinal SSI recurrence and need for hardware removal
- **PMMA vs. bioabsorbable carriers:** PMMA beads provide prolonged release but often require surgical removal and risk subtherapeutic elution; bioabsorbable options (calcium sulfate, phosphate, hydrogels) elute rapidly and obviate extraction
- Optimized elution kinetics depend on bead porosity, solubility, tortuosity, diameter, and antibiotic heat stability—favoring agents like vancomycin and tobramycin for PMMA
- **Enhanced tissue healing:** beyond infection control, antibiotic beads foster a conducive environment for bone regeneration and soft-tissue repair, improving postoperative recovery
- **Reduced systemic toxicity and resistance risk:** bioabsorbable carriers limit prolonged sub-MIC antibiotic release—minimizing nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and the emergence of resistant organisms
- Antibiotic cement beads are only an adjunct to a good surgical debridement and systemic antibiotic therapy



- ❖ **Beyond infection control, antibiotic-loaded beads create a favorable microenvironment for bone regeneration and soft-tissue repair, while controlled elution minimizes systemic toxicity (nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity) and the emergence of resistant organisms**
- ❖ **Release kinetics are governed by bead porosity, solubility, tortuosity, diameter, and antibiotic heat stability—favoring agents like vancomycin and tobramycin for sustained local concentrations without systemic peaks**
- ❖ **Bioabsorbable materials (e.g., calcium sulfate, calcium phosphate, hydrogels) deliver rapid antibiotic elution and eliminate the requirement for bead extraction, overcoming long-term resistance and nidus formation issues seen with PMMA**



Question:

**Is there a Role for Local Antibiotic Cement Bead Application
in Improving Outcomes After Debridement?**



Response:

- ❖ **When used alongside with thorough debridement and systemic antibiotics, local antibiotic cement beads reduce spinal surgical site infection recurrence.**



Vote:

**Agree – 60.6%, Disagree – 12.1%,
Abstain – 27.3% (Moderate Consensus)**