

SH2: Is there a role for prolonged peri-operative antibiotics to prevent acute post-operative PJI?

Liaison: Benjamin Zmistowski

Lead delegate: Emilie Cheung

Supportive delegates: Benjamin Clark; Usama Saleh

Response: The available data does not support routine use of prolonged antibiotics during primary or revision surgery; however, there may be a role in high-risk cases.

Strength of Recommendation: Limited

Delegate Vote: 50 (100%) agree; 0 disagree; 0 abstain

Rationale: A comprehensive literature review was performed to identify all studies on use of prolonged per-operative antibiotics in shoulder arthroplasty. Searches for the keyword terms “prolonged antibiotic shoulder arthroplasty” (22, 30), “extended antibiotic shoulder arthroplasty” (10, 18), “antibiotic prophylaxis shoulder arthroplasty infection” (40, 93), were performed using the search engines PubMed and Scopus which were searched through January 2025. Inclusion criteria for our systematic review were all English studies (Level I-IV evidence) that reported on use of prolonged or extended antibiotic prophylaxis in primary or revision shoulder arthroplasty. Exclusion criteria were non-English language articles, nonhuman studies, retracted papers, case reports, review papers, studies with less than <10 patients in the sample size, studies without clinical follow-up/infection rates, and technique papers without patient data. PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) criteria were followed. We identified zero articles from PubMed and zero articles from Scopus that met all criteria. Given the limited number of articles identified with the search terms used, searches were separately performed to identify studies on prolonged peri-antibiotic outside of the shoulder literature

There is no data in the shoulder literature specific to the use of extended antibiotic prophylaxis (EAP) in primary arthroplasty. Because of this, expert recommendations will have to be inferred from data from hip and knee arthroplasty. Based on a review of this literature, there appear to be advantages to the utilization of EAP of PJI in high-risk patients. Studies have shown a significant decrease in infection rates in high-risk patients who receive extended oral antibiotic prophylaxis(1,2). The rationale for EAP is to counteract poor host factors and provide additional protection against infection or until intraoperative cultures are negative¹. The utility of EAP in aseptic revision arthroplasty is not well-studied, and no universally accepted protocol exists. There are also concerns regarding the potential for increased antimicrobial resistance with extended antibiotic use.

Author	SurgeryType	Patient Population	Antibiotic Prophylaxis	Outcome	Results
Aseptic Revision THA					
Kuo(3)	Retrospective	Aseptic revision THA	Standard (≤ 24 hours) vs Extended (> 24 hours)	PJI within 1 year	No significant difference in PJI rates. Extended prophylaxis did not provide additional benefit.
Bukowski(4)	Retrospective	Aseptic revision THA	Standard vs Extended	Any infection, PJI, re-revision, reoperation for infection at 90	No statistically significant difference in risk of infection, PJI, re-

				days, 1 year and 5 years	revision, or reoperation. Trend toward protective effect of extended prophylaxis.
Villa(5)	Retrospective	Aseptic revision THA and TKA	Standard vs Extended	PJI rates	No significant difference in PJI rates.
Primary Arthroplasty					
Inabathula(2)	Retrospective	Primary TJA (THA and TKA) with risk factors	Standard vs Extended	PJI within 90 days	High-risk patients who did not receive extended oral antibiotic prophylaxis were 4 to 5 times more likely to develop PJI.
Kheir(6)	Retrospective	Primary TJA (THA and TKA) with risk factors	Standard vs Extended	PJI within 90 days	Extended antibiotic prophylaxis reduced 90-day infection rates in high-risk patients.
Kheir(1)	Retrospective	Primary TJA	Standard vs Extended	PJI within 1 year	Extended antibiotic prophylaxis reduced 1-year infection rates in high-risk patients, and infection rates in the extended group were less than low-risk patients. Number needed to treat was 57 patients.
Carender(7)	Retrospective	Morbidly obese patients (BMI ≥ 40 kg/m ²) undergoing primary TJA	Standard vs Extended	Wound complications and PJI within 90 days	No significant difference in wound complications or PJI rates.
Bundschuh(8)	Retrospective	Primary and Aseptic Revision TJA	Standard vs Extended	PJI within 3 months	Patients receiving EOA were less likely to develop PJI following primary and aseptic revision TJA.

Flynn(9)	Retrospective	Primary THA and TKA	Standard vs Extended	PJI within 90 days and 1 year	No significant difference in PJI rates at 90 days and 1 year. No difference in high risk patients.
All TJA (Primary and Revision)					
Dasari(10)	Meta-analysis	All TJA	Standard vs Extended	PJI rates	EAP led to a significant decrease in the probability of developing PJI.

The role of EAP in total joint arthroplasty remains an area of ongoing debate. While some studies suggest benefits, particularly in high-risk patients undergoing primary TJA, other studies do not show significant benefit, especially after revision procedures. The results are highly variable depending on patient population and methodology. The potential for adverse effects, the lack of consistent evidence, and varying methods suggests that widespread adoption of EAP cannot be universally recommended. There is a need for large, randomized, multi-center trials to define which patients might benefit from extended prophylaxis and which regimen would be most beneficial, as well as longer-term follow-up to determine if early benefit lasts. There is also a continued need for effective strategies for minimizing surgical site contamination and the identification of better antibiotics to combat PJI.

References:

1. Kheir MM, Dilley JE, Ziemba-Davis M, Meneghini RM. The AAHKS Clinical Research Award: Extended Oral Antibiotics Prevent Periprosthetic Joint Infection in High-Risk Cases: 3855 Patients With 1-Year Follow-Up. *J Arthroplasty*. 2021 Jul;36(7S):S18–25.
2. Inabathula A, Dilley JE, Ziemba-Davis M, Warth LC, Azzam KA, Ireland PH, et al. Extended Oral Antibiotic Prophylaxis in High-Risk Patients Substantially Reduces Primary Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty 90-Day Infection Rate. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2018 Dec 19;100(24):2103–9.
3. Kuo FC, Aalirezaie A, Goswami K, Shohat N, Blevins K, Parvizi J. Extended Antibiotic Prophylaxis Confers No Benefit Following Aseptic Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Matched Case-Controlled Study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019 Nov;34(11):2724–9.
4. Bukowski BR, Owen AR, Turner TW, Fruth KM, Osmon DR, Pagnano MW, et al. Extended Oral Antibiotic Prophylaxis After Aseptic Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty: Does It Decrease Infection Risk? *J Arthroplasty*. 2022 Dec;37(12):2460–5.
5. Villa JM, Pannu TS, Braaksma W, Higuera CA, Riesgo AM. Extended Oral Antibiotic Prophylaxis After Aseptic Total Hip or Knee Arthroplasty Revisions: A Preliminary Report. *J Arthroplasty*. 2023 Jan;38(1):141–5.
6. Zingg M, Kheir MM, Ziemba-Davis M, Meneghini RM. Reduced Infection Rate After Aseptic Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty With Extended Oral Antibiotic Protocol. *J Arthroplasty*. 2022 May;37(5):905–9.
7. Carender CN, DeMik DE, Glass NA, Noiseux NO, Brown TS, Bedard NA. Do Extended Oral Postoperative Antibiotics Prevent Early Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Morbidly Obese Patients Undergoing Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty? *J Arthroplasty*. 2021 Aug;36(8):2716–21.

8. Bundschuh KE, Muffly BT, Ayeni AM, Heo KY, Khawaja SR, Tocio AJ, et al. Should All Patients Receive Extended Oral Antibiotic Prophylaxis? Defining Its Role in Patients Undergoing Primary and Aseptic Revision Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2024 Sep;39(9S2):S117-S121.e4.
9. Flynn JB, Yokhana SS, Wilson JM, Schultz JD, Hymel AM, Martin JR. Not so Fast: Extended Oral Antibiotic Prophylaxis Does Not Reduce 90-Day Infection Rate Following Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2024 Sep;39(9S2):S122–8.
10. Dasari SP, Kanumuri SD, Yang J, Manner PA, Fernando ND, Hernandez NM. Extended Prophylactic Antibiotics for Primary and Aseptic Revision Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Meta-Analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. 2024 Sep;39(9S2):S476–87.